A Brief History of Connecticut’s Crumbling Foundations

By Kevin E Miller

Starting at the Beginning...

The following is a brief introduction to the history of the crumbling concrete crisis...the source of the damage; in short, the extent of what we know. Media coverage, publications, and municipal records provide a wide range of information and results when portraying the number of Connecticut homes that could have pyrrhotite present in their concrete foundations.

Tolland, Connecticut—Ground Zero

Old Kent Road in this town was the location of the first reported crumbling foundation. In February of 1985 this Tolland home was constructed by a builder with a long-term business relationship with J.J. Mottes Company, the concrete supplier. In April of 1993, the owners noticed some cracking on the north and east side of the basement. The builder’s response was to request that they simply keep an eye on it. Fast forward to 1995...and now a tremendous amount of cracking was in evidence, along with discoloration of the foundation. The changes taking place triggered additional testing, requiring cores for strength results, and in March of 1996 Materials Testing performed a petrographic examination (under a microscope) to determine the cause of the problem.
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The results indicated a water/cement ratio of more than 0.70. Concrete in that ratio range can easily be porous enough to absorb surrounding water or moisture. Industry experts were brought in to provide theories as to what could have been the source of these concrete defects. The extent of the foundation’s discoloration as well as the general deterioration and extensive cracking left everyone wondering what was taking place. The first question they asked was “Was it the water used to make the concrete?” Additional questions came to mind, such as “Was the sand contaminated?” “Was there a problem during the production of the cement?” No one could have anticipated at the time that it would be years before substantial evidence proved that it was in fact the mineral pyrrhotite that was the culprit.

“When the crumbling foundations disaster began to unfold, no one could have anticipated that a mineral found on every continent ...would be the source and cause of so much economic loss.”

Pyrrhotite, The Slow Motion Disaster

When we mention the word “disaster” we are often referring to earthquakes, hurricanes, tornadoes, and other naturally occurring events. When the crumbling foundations disaster began to unfold, no one could have anticipated that a mineral found on every continent in the world and in most of our 50 states...in other words, a commonly occurring substance...would be the source and cause of so much economic loss. What was becoming apparent was that the pyrrhotite disaster was a naturally-occurring phenomenon, and a direct result of the reaction of moisture and oxygen coming in contact with pyrrhotite contained within the concrete itself. It was in fact the reaction which caused noticeable severe “map” cracking and material expansion, resulting in reduced strength of the concrete structure itself. Evidence began to emerge, and continues to emerge, that the timeline of concrete failure can vary considerably, beginning in as few as five years or more after placement.
The Mystery Solved

A turning point in the history of this crisis occurred in 2008 when a petrographer in Ohio, Nick Scaglione, tested concrete samples from a Tolland residence and found pyrrhotite. The confirmed discovery of the mineral pyrrhotite and its known effects of sulfidic aggregates was firmly determined to be the cause of the delayed cracking, expansion, and crumbling concrete. Since the discovery of the source of the crumbling concrete, many laboratories, petrographers, concrete experts, engineers, and scholars have been involved in researching new test methods, repair procedures, and future prevention through quarry inspections. It became clear that the source of the problem was concrete supplied specifically by a company known as J.J. Mottes, located in Stafford Springs. It was also determined that the source of the aggregate used to produce the concrete was quarried in West Willington at Becker’s Quarry. The Becker’s Quarry aggregates were used as late as 2014, before an agreement was reached to stop its use in concrete. Although some commercial and transportation structures have shown minor signs of damage, most of the severe deterioration has been in residential homes located in almost 50 Connecticut towns. It’s important to point out that the damage from this slow-moving disaster has seeped across the border into communities in Massachusetts.
Difficult to Predict a Timeline

The attack of sulfate on concrete is a very complex phenomenon and has been under investigation since as early as the 1920’s. There are two distinct forms of sulfate attack categorized as internal or external. With external sulfate attack, the effect can include exposure to seawater, sewer effluents, harsh chemicals, and direct contact with soils or rocks containing sulfides. With an internal attack...the type of attack most commonly associated with this crisis...the expansion, efflorescence, and cracking common with Connecticut’s crumbling foundations is caused by an internal source of sulfate attack when the mineral pyrrhotite is exposed to moisture and oxygen.

The damaging effects on concrete move through different phases: the first being the oxidation of the iron sulfides, and secondly the sulfuric acid, as a by-product of the oxidation leading to the decaying of the concrete. Simply stated, the transformation of the mineral pyrrhotite when exposed to oxygen and moisture causes a harmful attack on the cement used to produce the concrete foundation. The rate of deterioration is impossible to predict because of the great number variables in play.

In Conclusion

We’ve addressed, briefly, the past 27 years of this history of this crisis...since the first discovery of questionable concrete, the source of the problem, and the type of reaction associated with pyrrhotite. Currently many scientists, engineers, and construction experts are working hard to develop new testing methods and repair procedures to provide us with the answers we need going forward. Future articles will cover concrete basics, how to examine your concrete foundation, repair and replacement methods, and the various predictable stages of pyrrhotite damage.
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